

August 9, 2018

Marlene H. Dortch Secretary Federal Communications Commission 445 12th Street, S.W. Washington, DC 20554

Re: Ex Parte Communication: WC Docket No. 17-144

Dear Ms. Dortch:

On August 7, 2018, Bob DeBroux of TDS Telecom, Ken Pfister of Great Plains Communications, Trey Judy of Hargray Communications, Mike Skrivan of Consolidated Communications, and Lynn Follansbee of USTelecom, along with Genny Morelli and the undersigned of ITTA, met with Jay Schwarz of the Office of Chairman Pai regarding the Notice of Proposed Rulemaking in the above-referenced proceeding.<sup>1</sup>

During the meeting, consistent with ITTA and USTelecom's comments on the *NPRM*, we discussed the high-level outcomes that the Commission should adopt in the report and order in this proceeding. Model-based rate-of-return carriers should receive the same regulatory relief with respect to their provision of business data services as the Commission afforded price cap carriers last year. The Commission should eliminate ex ante regulation of packet-based business data services, TDM business data services in excess of a DS3, and TDM transport. With respect to each of these services, none of model-based rate-of-return carriers' competitors are regulated. The Commission also should apply the results of the existing business data services competitive market test to model-based rate-of-return carriers for their provision of end user channel termination services.

With respect to TDM transport, we explained why there is no basis for the Commission's proposal to accord model-based rate-of-return carriers different treatment than the *Price Cap Order* did for price cap carriers.<sup>4</sup> We discussed the showings accompanying ITTA and USTelecom's comments on the *NPRM*, demonstrating the comparability of market conditions in areas of counties served by model-based rate-of-return carriers with the areas served by price cap

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Regulation of Business Data Services for Rate-of-Return Local Exchange Carriers, Notice of Proposed Rulemaking, FCC 18-46 (Apr. 18, 2018) (NPRM).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> See Comments of ITTA and USTelecom, WC Docket No. 17-144 (June 18, 2018).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> See generally Business Data Services in an Internet Protocol Environment et al., Report and Order, 32 FCC Rcd 3459 (2017) (Price Cap Order).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup> See NPRM at 8, para. 14.

Ms. Marlene H. Dortch August 9, 2018 Page 2

carriers in these same counties. Furthermore, the Commission recognized in the *Price Cap Order* that there is "widespread competition in the market" for transport services, and that even if some census blocks did not have immediate competitive options, "greater harm—primarily manifested in the discouragement of competitive entry over time—would result if we were to attempt to regulate these cases than is expected under our deregulatory approach." Eliminating disincentives to competition was considered so important, coupled with "sufficiently widespread" competition, that the Commission had "confidence that a combination of these factors will broadly protect against the risk of supracompetitive rates" in the short-to-medium term. The Commission concluded that "[r]efraining from pricing regulation for transport services nationally achieves the proper balance between precision and administrability."

This same nationwide analysis applies with equal force to model-based rate-of-return carrier TDM transport services. The Commission should make the same "reasonable assessment" and "appropriate[] balanc[ing of] the relative risks of under- and overregulation" of TDM transport provided by model-based rate-of-return carriers, and eliminate ex ante regulation of such carriers' provision of TDM transport, just as it did with respect to price cap carriers.

Please do not hesitate to contact the undersigned with any questions regarding this submission.

Respectfully submitted,

/s/

Michael J. Jacobs Vice President, Regulatory Affairs

cc: Jay Schwarz

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>5</sup> Price Cap Order, 32 FCC Rcd at 3500, para. 90.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>6</sup> *Id.* at 3501, para. 92. *See also* Public Brief for Respondents at 22, Citizens Telecommunications Co. v. FCC, Nos. 17-2296, 17-2342, 17-2344, 17-2685 (8<sup>th</sup> Cir. Nov. 17, 2017) ("In excluding legacy transport services from ex ante pricing regulation, the Commission made a reasonable assessment of the record and appropriately balanced the relative risks of under- and overregulation. The record is replete with evidence that competition for transport services, if not universal, is extremely widespread.").

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>7</sup> Price Cap Order, 32 FCC Rcd at 3502, para. 92.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>8</sup> *Id.* at para. 93.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>9</sup> Public Brief for Respondents, *supra* note 6, at 22.